

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

GABRIEL LOPEZ GONZALEZ,

Defendant and Appellant.

D074309

(Super. Ct. No. SCD268198)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County,
Timothy R. Walsh, Judge. Affirmed.

John L. Staley, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and
Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Gabriel Lopez Gonzalez was charged with 26 felony counts of sexual offenses
against children. As part of a plea agreement, Gonzalez pleaded guilty to three counts of

continuous sexual abuse of a child (Pen. Code,¹ § 288.5, subd. (a); counts 1, 12 and 21). He admitted the allegation of substantial sexual conduct as to each count (§ 1203.066, subd. (a)(8)). The agreement also included a limitation of not more than 24 years in prison. The remaining counts and allegations were dismissed. The court denied defendant's request for replacement of trial counsel and his request to withdraw his guilty plea. The court sentenced Gonzalez to a term of 24 years in prison.

Gonzalez filed a timely notice of appeal but did not obtain a certificate of probable cause.

Appellate counsel has filed a brief in accordance with *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*) indicating he has not been able to identify an arguable issue for reversal on appeal. Counsel requests this court to review the record for error as mandated by *Wende*. We offered Gonzalez the opportunity to file his own brief on appeal, but he has not responded.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

This appeal follows a guilty plea; thus, the facts are contained in the probation officer's report. Gonzalez molested his two stepdaughters over a period of 10 years. He also molested a 12-year-old female neighbor.

DISCUSSION

As we have noted, appellate counsel has been unable to identify any arguable issue for reversal on appeal. Counsel asks this court to review the record for error as mandated

¹ All further statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise specified.

by *Wende*. Although counsel has cited *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 (*Anders*), counsel does not identify any possible, but not reasonably arguable, issues for our consideration in examining the record. However, we have carefully reviewed the entire record. We are satisfied we are fully informed as to the events which transpired in the trial court and that we are in a good position to determine if there are any arguable issues for reversal contained in this record. After thorough review of the record, we too are unable to identify any arguable issue. Thus, consistent with *Anders* and *Wende* we are satisfied competent counsel has represented Gonzalez on this appeal and that there is no arguable issue for reversal that could be developed.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

HUFFMAN, J.

WE CONCUR:

McCONNELL, P. J.

BENKE, J.